SINGLE BENCH

(9)

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 59 /2006 (S.B.)

PETITIONER : Ashok Kumar Myuradha S/o Shri Bhajan Ram, Aged about 36 years, Assistant Teacher, Presently posted at Govt. Primary School Lachha, Block & Tah.Surajpur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)

P.R. No. 2826/06
Presented by Shri Mahendra Dubey
dated 26/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through- Secretary, Department of Tribal Welfare, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
26-12-06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2 Commissioner, Department of Tribal Welfare, Raipur (C.G.)

3. Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, Ambikapur, District- Surguja C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
W. P. (S) No. 59 of 2007

Petitioner : Ashok Kumar Myuradha
Versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Shri Saurabh Dangi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on 15th day of January, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as learned Panel Lawyer appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case are similar to that of in W. P. (s) 5976 of 2006 (Sushil Tiwari & others Vs. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7th November, 2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. The petitioner was initially appointed vide order dated 1.6.1993 (Annexure P/1) as Assistant Teacher on a fixed pay of Rs. 600/-. Subsequently, vide order dated 4.8.2005 (Annexure P/2) all the appointments made between 1982-83 to 1994-95 on a fixed pay on the post of Deputy Teacher/Assistant Teacher/Teacher were converted to the regular appointment on completion of two years probation period. Accordingly the petitioner was deemed to have been appointed on probation for a period of two years.

3. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order dated 7th November, 2006 passed by this Court in W. P. (s) 5976 of 2006. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur